

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/634,870	08/06/2003	Takayuki Yagi	03560.002432.1	7625	
5514 7590 09/28/2007 FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			EXAMINER		
			VARGOT, MATHIEU D		
NEW YORK,	NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1732		
•					
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/28/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/634,870	YAGI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Mathieu D. Vargot	1732		

·	Mathieu D. Vargot	1732	ì
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	dress
THE REPLY FILED 11 September 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITI	ON FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice ving replies: (1) an amendmer tice of Appeal (with appeal fee with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	ce of Appeal. To avoid about, affidavit, or other evide in compliance with 37 C	nce, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (ater than SIX MONTHS from the r (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHE	nailing date of the final reject	tion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding am shortened statutory period for repl than three months after the maili	ount of the fee. The appropy originally set in the final Off	riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	hs of the date of ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further col (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see	brief, will <u>not</u> be entered be NOTE below);	ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		y rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of No	n-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		·	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 			_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ vided below or appended.] will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing d sufficient reasons why the at	a Notice of Appeal will <u>n</u> fidavit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under a and was not earlier presente	ippeal and/or appellant fa d. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		-	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the applicat	ion in condition for allowa	nce because:
 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (Other: 	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
-		M. Wurdt Mathieu D. Vargot	
		Mathieu D. Vargot	
		Primary Examiner	
		Art Unit: 1732 9/19/07	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The aspect of the diameter of the opening being incorporated into theindependent claims constitutes a new issue at this point, in that the rejection would have to be amended should the case proceed to the board and the prosecution be reopened